

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/736,984	MURAKAMI ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Chirag G. Shah	2616	

All Participants:

(1) Chirag G. Shah.

(2) John Lastova.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 1 August 2007

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

1-23

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

An agreement was reached between the Applicant and the Examiner to incorporate the limitations of claim 2 and claim 16 into independent claims where required as set forth via attached Examiner's Amendment.

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

CHIRAG G. SHAH
PRIMARY PATENT EXAMINER



(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)